



THE LONDON BOROUGH
www.bromley.gov.uk

BROMLEY CIVIC CENTRE, STOCKWELL CLOSE, BROMLEY BRI 3UH

TELEPHONE: 020 8464 3333 CONTACT: Philippa Gibbs
philippa.gibbs@bromley.gov.uk

DIRECT LINE: 020 8461 7638
FAX: 020 8290 0608 DATE: 5 January 2016

To: Members of the
SCHOOLS' FORUM

Andrew Downes (Chairman)	Secondary Academy Governor
David Bridger (Vice-Chairman)	Non-School Representative (Church of England)
Dr Martin Airey	Secondary Academy Head Teacher
Colin Ashford	Primary Academy Governor
Geoff Boyd	Primary Maintained Governor
Leah Crawley	Primary Maintained Head Teacher
David Dilling	Primary Academy Governor
Patrick Foley	Primary Maintained Head Teacher
Lee Mason-Ellis	Primary Academy Head Teacher
Neil Miller	PRU Head Teacher
Sam Parrett	Non-School Representative (14-19 Partnership)
Neil Proudfoot	Non-School Representative (Joint Teacher Liaison Committee)
Karen Raven	Secondary Academy Head Teacher
Alison Register	Non-School Representative (Early Years)
Keith Seed	Special Head Teacher/Governor
1 x vacancy	Non-School Representative (Catholic Church)
David Wilcox	Secondary Academy Governor
Aydin Önaç	Secondary Maintained Head Teacher

A meeting of the Schools' Forum will be held at the Bromley College of Further and Higher Education, Rookery Lane, Bromley, BR2 8HE on **THURSDAY 14 JANUARY 2016 AT 4.30 PM** *

*** PLEASE NOTE STARTING TIME AND VENUE**

MARK BOWEN
Director of Corporate Services

A G E N D A

- 1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**
- 2 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**
- 3 MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 26TH NOVEMBER 2015 (Pages 3 - 8)**
- 4 2016-17 DEDICATED SCHOOLS GRANT (Pages 9 - 24)**

5 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

6 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

All meetings are at the Bromley College of Further and Higher Education unless otherwise stated.

.....

SCHOOLS' FORUM

Minutes of the meeting held at 4.30 pm on 26 November 2015

Present:

Andrew Downes (Chairman)	Secondary Academy Governor
David Bridger (Vice-Chairman)	Non-School Representative (Church of England)
Leah Crawley	Primary Maintained Head Teacher
Patrick Foley	Primary Maintained Head Teacher
Lee Mason-Ellis	Primary Academy Head Teacher
Neil Miller	PRU Head Teacher
Karen Raven	Secondary Academy Head Teacher
Keith Seed	Special Head Teacher/Governor

Also Present:

Jane Bailey	Assistant Director: Education
Philippa Gibbs	Chief Executive's Department
James Mullender	Head of Education Finance
Amanda Russell	Head of Schools Finance Support

58 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Dr Martin Airey, Colin Ashford, Geoff Boyd, Aydin Onac, Sam Parrett, Neil Proudfoot, David Wilcox and, David Dilling.

59 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

In relation to minute 61 (Alternative Provision Funding), Neil Miller declared an interest as the Head of the Pupil Referral Unit.

In relation to minute 62 (Maintained Primary School De-Delegation 2016-17), Patrick Foley declared an interest as his school received funding for union/facilities time.

In relation to minute 64 (Outcome of consultation – Bulge Class Funding), Andrew Downes and David Bridger declared interest as a Directors of Learning Trusts.

60 MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 24TH SEPTEMBER 2015

The minutes of the meeting held on 24 September 2015 were approved, and signed as a correct record.

61 ALTERNATIVE PROVISION FUNDING

The Schools Forum powers and responsibilities stated that the Forum should be consulted on the number of places commissioned by the Local Authority (LA) and the arrangements for paying top up funding.

Funding for Alternative Provision (AP) settings and special schools was paid on the place plus approach, i.e. settings were funded for an agreed number of places, negotiated annually, plus top up funding based round the actual number of pupils.

The top up funding for all special schools and units was reconciled to actual pupil numbers on a termly basis. As part of the initial contract negotiations with Bromley Trust Academy (BTA) it was agreed that the AP places would not be adjusted in the first year (i.e. September 2014-August 2015) but would be adjusted in subsequent years.

BTA had expressed some concern around the financial viability of managing its budget in this way and the LA had therefore proposed a compromise as follows:

- BTA funded for 135 places: 45 at Midfield Campus and 90 at Hayes Campus.
- Hayes Campus places funded at £10,000 plus £8,000 top up
- Midfield Campus places funded at £10,000 plus top up of £14,000k for statemented pupils and £17,000 for non-statemented pupils (as transport costs were funded form RSG for statemented pupils)
- LA was proposing that top up funding would be reconciled annually based on May census date, being the point at which pupil numbers were likely to be at their highest.
- Funding would be adjusted only where pupil numbers fell below 80% of agreed place numbers, at which point funding would be adjusted by 50%.

The Forum queried why it was unacceptable for the funding for BTA to be reconciled on a termly basis when this did not happen for special schools. In response, the Head of Schools Finance Support reported that it was considered that pupil numbers in special schools were more stable and predictable due to the pressures on special educational needs (SEN). In addition, the Director of Education highlighted that it appeared that there was going to be a move away from place funding to pupil-led funding on a national scale and as a result this appeared to be the fairest approach.

During discussions the Schools' Forum identified that there needed to be more discussion and debate surrounding how the vision for the future of alternative provision fitted with funding arrangements. The Chairman indicated that these discussions needed to progress before the Schools' Forum would be in a position to make any recommendations.

RESOLVED: That the proposals outlined above be supported for the current year (2015/16) with a further review of usage for the 2016/17 academic year, based on actual numbers of usage, being undertaken in June 2016 and reported back to the Schools' Forum.

62 MAINTAINED PRIMARY SCHOOLS DE- DELEGATION 2016-17

The Forum considered a report providing details of a proposal to review the de-delegation of funding relating to facilities for maintained primary schools. Maintained schools had the option to hand back to the LA to manage specific areas of funding on their behalf (de-delegate) in line with the DfE regulations. The two areas delegated in Bromley were supply staff costs (covering maternity, jury service, suspension and, union/facilities time) and free school meal eligibility.

The Joint Teacher Liaison Committee (JTLC) had requested that the Forum review the de-delegation for supply costs for 2016/17. In 2015/16 the total budget for all these areas was £177,000, calculated at £18.50 per pupil. However, of this total only around £3,000 related to facilities time related expenditure, this being around 31p per pupil. The JTLC requested that the facilities time related expenditure should be dis-aggregated from the budget and that alternative arrangements then be made for maintained primary schools to contribute to a separate "pot" along with academies. The implication of this being that the amount to be de-delegated would reduce to around £18.19 per pupil.

Following discussion the Forum agreed the proposals in principle but felt that it would be more appropriate for a decision to be taken at the next meeting when more members would be present.

RESOLVED: that the proposals be agreed in principle, but that an item for decision be placed on the agenda for the Schools' Forum meeting in January 2016.

63 UPDATE OF EARLY YEARS FUNDING FOR 2016/17

The Forum considered a report providing an update on changes to Early Years (EY) and the impact on the related funding. Previously, the Forum had been advised of some changes to the OFSTED registration process for Early Years settings which could potentially affect funding. In line with DfE regulations, Bromley had a similar but different funding formula for maintained and PVI settings, reflecting different staffing requirements and premises related expenditure. Historically, when schools with maintained nurseries converted to academies they continued to be viewed as maintained settings as opposed to PVI and were funded accordingly, this being based on advice provided by the DfE at the time of the first such conversions in 2011. The DfE had recently issued some further guidance which appeared to address the ambiguities in the original guidance, particularly with regard to staffing requirements in maintained school nursery settings. It would appear that EY settings at academies should be funded

as PVI settings. There were seven primary academies being funded as maintained settings, plus only four nurseries at the remaining maintained schools.

To ensure that no settings were financially disadvantaged by this, the LA was proposing that the EY funding formula should be rationalised, that the maintained funding formula should be rationalised, and that the maintained funding levels, being the lower of the two, should be increased to match the PVI funding levels.

The Forum noted that the cost of the proposal (£66,000) would be found from within the Early Years' funding block.

RESOLVED: That the proposals to align Maintained and PVI Early Years Funding for 2016/17 be supported.

64 OUTCOME OF CONSULTATION - BULGE CLASS FUNDING

The Forum considered a report providing detailed feedback on the consultation with schools regarding bulge class/expansion funding.

A circular outlining in detail the funding proposals was sent out to all schools and in total ten responses were received. A meeting of the Primary Head Teachers Group was held on 1 October 2015 and the proposals were discussed with the Head of Schools' Finance Team and the Primary Head Teachers Schools' Forum representatives.

From the consultation results, there was a very small split in favour of the current funding process. The Schools' Forum regulations stated that the central spend level and the method for allocating growth funding should be proposed by the LA and decided by the Schools' Forum. However, in view of the lack of clear guidance from the consultation responses it had been very difficult for the LA to recommend either of the options before there had been an opportunity for full discussions with the Schools' Forum.

The Forum agreed that it was essential that the correct number of school places was provided and that schools should not be deterred from agreeing to accept bulge classes.

It was felt that in order to take a decision for the future more detailed figures would need to be provided for detailed consideration.

RESOLVED: That the current arrangements remain in place for 2016/17 and a further review being undertaken for 2017/18 with more detailed information provided for consideration.

65 REVIEW OF FUNDING FORMULA - 2017/18

The Forum considered a report which provided feedback from the Working Group (WG) set up to undertake a review of the LA funding formula. The WG considered a detailed analysis of the Bromley Funding Formula elements compared to a number of neighbouring local authorities (appendix 2 of the report). The WG group felt that they were able to draw a number of conclusions from the data as follows:

- Bromley primary : secondary ratio was low compared to other LAs
- Bromley % basic entitlement was in line with other LAs
- Bromley % pupil led was in line with other LAs
- Bromley AWPU allocations were generally in line with other LAs – if not necessarily in actual values, in terms of the primary : secondary splits.
- The Bromley lump sum was generally in line with other LAs in terms of both its allocation and its spread.

It was concluded from this that the review should therefore focus on the other elements within the formula, namely Deprivation, EAL and Low Cost/High Incidence SEN, but with particular focus on the last two elements where there was a differential between the primary and secondary amounts.

Some initial modelling was carried out looking at EAL and Low Cost/High Incidence SEN as follows (appendix 3 of the report):

- Primary EAL increased to £1129 in line with secondary
- Primary LC/Hi Sen reduced to £1,000 in line with secondary

The impact of this was such that it shifted the primary: secondary ratio from 1:1.19 to 1:1.24 which was slightly more in line with other LAs. However, because of the impact of the MFG mechanism, no primary schools could lose more than 1.5% of their budget share per pupil and secondary schools did not benefit from this change.

The Head of Schools' Finance Support reported that the implications of the Government's Autumn Budget Statement, delivered on Wednesday 25 November 2015, was that there would be a move towards a national funding formula from April 2017. If this were to happen it was possible that Bromley could receive additional funding which would enable the discrepancy around the ratio to be addressed.

The Forum noted that a number of secondary schools in Bromley were experiencing a funding crisis as a result of funding pressures implemented nationally. It was felt that there needed to be a much fairer system for all schools but that in order for any decisions to be taken there needed to be a clearer indication of the national funding formula.

RESOLVED: That,

- 1. the Working Group note the comments of the Schools' Forum outlined above; and**
- 2. initial consultation with all schools around the scope of the review of the funding formula for 2017/18 be approved.**

66 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

There was no other business.

67 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

It was noted that the next meeting would be held on 14 January 2016 and the Chairman emphasised the importance of this meeting.

The Meeting ended at 6.10 pm

Chairman

Report No.
ED16012

London Borough of Bromley

PART ONE - PUBLIC

Decision Maker: **SCHOOLS' FORUM**
EDUCATION PORTFOLIO HOLDER

Date: **For Pre-Decision Scrutiny by the Education Budget Sub-Committee on Thursday 14 January 2016**

Decision Type: Non-Urgent Non-Executive Non-Key

Title: **2016-17 DEDICATED SCHOOLS GRANT**

Contact Officer: Amanda Russell, Head of Schools Finance Support
Tel: 020 8603 3572 E-mail: Amanda.russell@liberata.com
James Mullender, Finance Manager
Tel: 020 8313 4292 E-mail: james.mullender@bromley.gov.uk

Chief Officer: Director of Education

Ward: (All Wards);

1. Reason for report

This report provides information on the 2016-17 Dedicated Schools Grant and how it will be allocated.

2. **RECOMMENDATION(S)**

The Schools Forum and Education Budget Sub-Committee are requested to:

- (a) Note the DSG allocation for 2016/17;
- (b) Discuss the proposed allocation, with specific reference to the further use of DSG carry forward to support 2016/17 expenditure as detailed in para 3.8;
- (c) Discuss proposals for reducing expenditure in future years;
- (d) Provide any comments for consideration by the Portfolio Holder for Education;

The Schools Forum Maintained Primary Representatives are requested to:

- (e) Agree de-delegation amounts for maintained primary schools for 2016/17 as detailed in para 3.13;

Subject to the views of the Schools Forum and Education Budget Sub-Committee, the Portfolio Holder for Education is requested to either:

- (f) Approve the Dedicated Schools Grant allocation to the Schools Budget for 2016/17 as per para 3.14; or
- (g) Agree that a decision on the final allocation will be requested at the Education PDS Committee meeting on 19th January 2016 reflecting any required changes.

Corporate Policy

1. Policy Status: Existing Policy
 2. BBB Priority: Children and Young People, Excellent Council
-

Financial

1. Cost of proposal: Not Applicable:
 2. Ongoing costs: Not Applicable:
 3. Budget head/performance centre: Dedicated Schools Grant
 4. Total current budget for this head: £251m
 5. Source of funding: Department for Education
-

Staff

1. Number of staff (current and additional): Full details will be available with the Council's 2016/17 Financial Control Budget published in March 2016
 2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours: n/a
-

Legal

1. Legal Requirement: None:
 2. Call-in: Not Applicable:
-

Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected): n/a
-

Ward Councillor Views

1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? No
2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments:

3. COMMENTARY

3.1 In December 2015 the DfE released the final Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) for 2016/17 based on the final units of funding that were released back in July. The final units of funding have increased marginally from 2015/16 (from £4,545.22 to £4,548.24) to include funding for non-recoupment academies/free schools that was previously funded separately. Full details of this adjustment can be seen via the link below:

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/446185/SBUFs_2016-17_technical_note_publication_version1.pdf

3.2 Appendix 1 shows how the pupil numbers have been calculated for use in the overall calculation of the DSG. Full details of the DSG allocations can be seen via the link below but is summarised in the table below.

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/485625/DSG_2016-17_allocations_spreadsheet_final.xls

3.3 The total DSG for 2016/17 has been calculated at £251,368,021 as detailed below:

2016/17 Dedicated Schools Grant					
High Needs Block		Early Years Block		Schools Block	
2015/16 funding restated (a)	£48,268,652	Pupil numbers (c)	3,247	Pupil numbers (h)	42,560
less		Funding per pupil (d)	£3,899	Funding per pupil (i)	£4,548
Academy unit places	£2,972,000	EY Pupil Funding (c x d = e)	£12,661,579	Pupil Funding (h x i = j)	£193,573,094
AP places	£1,391,674	add		add	
Maintained Special Schools Post 16	£920,000	2 Year Old Funding (f)	£1,845,888	NQT funding (k)	£61,652
Total Deductions (b)	£5,283,674	Early Years Pupil Premium (g)	£240,829		
Final Total (a - b)	£42,984,978	Final Total (e + f + g)	£14,748,296	Final Total (j + k)	£193,634,746
Grand Total					£251,368,021

3.4 The DSG income is generally in line with what was expected and what has been received in previous years, however there are issues regarding the related expenditure which Members are asked to consider. In 2015/16 a balanced budget was set at the start of the financial year (taking into account planned expenditure to be funded from the unspent DSG carried forwards from previous years) as agreed by the Schools Forum. However, based on the most recent estimates it is likely that the DSG budget will be overspent by around £52k.

3.6 Initial calculations showed that the DSG was likely to be overspent by around £4m in 2016/17. Additional pressures on the budget compared to 2015/16 are summarised below:

	£'000
Special schools/units (inc 25 places at Beacon House)	1,508
Bulge classes	1,000
SEN matrix payments	414
SEN independent/outborough	401
Other SEN Services	362
Licenses	73
	<u>3,759</u>

3.7 A particular growth area is bulge classes, with expenditure projected to be around £2.5m in 2016/17, and the funding mechanism for which has been discussed at the past few Schools Forum meetings. Special Educational Needs has also seen significant growth due to the SEND reforms which extended Education, Care and Health plans to age 25, but has only resulted in an additional £562k in the 2016/17 DSG allocation.

3.8 It was previously agreed as part of the 2015/16 budget process that £1m per annum of previously unspent DSG would be used to support expenditure in 2015/16 and 2016/17. Further savings have been identified by Officers from the Central Spend areas to reduce the overspend as detailed below, with a further £1.25m from the DSG carry forward to balance the budget as shown in the table below:

		£'000
High Needs Block		
Early Intervention	Cr	185
Progression Courses	Cr	111
Pupil referrals	Cr	106
Other minor changes	Cr	70
	Cr	<u>472</u>
Early Years Block		
FEE - 2 year olds	Cr	638
FEE - 3&4 year olds	Cr	321
	Cr	<u>959</u>
Schools Block		
Access Initiative (Capital)	Cr	167
Pupil Support	Cr	87
Schools Forum	Cr	23
	Cr	<u>277</u>
DSG carry forward		
Growth previously agreed	Cr	1,000
Further drawdown required	Cr	1,250
	Cr	<u>2,250</u>
	Cr	<u>3,959</u>

3.9 Appendix 3 shows details of the unspent DSG from previous years and how this will be spent/allocated in 2016/17, most of which has already been agreed with the Schools Forum. This shows that the previous underspend will be almost fully spent and as such the LA will no longer have this as a resource to draw down on.

3.10 The LA is very concerned about this situation as it clearly demonstrates that the current budget is not sustainable and that savings will need to be identified in future years. Officers have already begun the task of identifying potential savings within the High Needs and Early Years Blocks, and within the central spend in the Schools Block. However, it is anticipated that some savings may also need to be found within the Schools Block, and the Schools Forum is asked to consider this as part of the Formula Review in preparation for the introduction of the National Funding Formula.

- 3.11 Further details of the National Funding Formula are due to be announced early in the New Year as part of a full consultation process. It is possible that as Bromley is still amongst the lower funded authorities, particularly compared to other London Authorities, may benefit financially from the new proposals. If this is the case, then there may need to be some consideration as to how any additional funding may be allocated across the three notional blocks.
- 3.12 Appendix 4 shows the DfE APT (Authority Proforma Tool) which details the individual elements for the funding formula – the only changes to 2015/16 is the reduction of £79 per pupil from AWPU for all pupils which relates to the one off allocation of DSG underspend that was agreed for one year only. Relevant approval has been sought and granted by the DfE for this amount to be excluded from the MFG calculation.
- 3.13 At the meeting of Schools Forum on 26th November 2015, Maintained Primary Representatives were asked to agree to changes to the de-delegation of Supply Staff Costs following a request from the Joint Teacher Liaison Committee (JTLC) to exclude facilities time related expenditure from de-delegation and for maintained primaries to make a contribution to a separate “pot” along with academies. Based on current expenditure this equates to around £3k of the £177k budget for 2015/16 and would result in a reduction of per-pupil de-delegation from £18.50 to £18.19, Due to a lack of Maintained Primary Representatives at that meeting, the decision was deferred, so those representatives are now asked to agree the changed de-delegation for 2016/17.
- 3.14 As in previous years, the Council’s 2016/17 Draft Budget report to be considered on 13th January 2016 requests that Executive agree to delegate the setting of the Schools Budget for 2016/17 to the Portfolio Holder for Education. Subject to the views of the Schools Forum and Education Budget Sub-Committee, and no changes to the allocation being proposed, the Portfolio Holder is requested to agree the proposed Schools Budget for 2016/17. If changes to the allocation are required then a decision will be sought at the Education PDS Committee meeting on 19th January 2016.

4. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1. The Council’s key priorities are included within the Council’s “Building a Better Bromley” statement and include:
- An Excellent Council
 - A Quality Environment
 - Regeneration
 - Vibrant Thriving Town Centres
 - Supporting our Children and Young People
 - Supporting Independence
 - Safe Bromley
 - Healthy Bromley
- 4.2. “The “Building a Better Bromley” objective of being an Excellent Council refers to the Council’s intention to provide efficient services and to have a financial strategy that focuses on stewardship and sustainability. Delivering Value for Money is one of the Corporate Operating Principles supporting Building a Better Bromley.

5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 Financial considerations are contained within the overall report.

6. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1 Dedicated Schools Grant is provided by the Secretary of State under section 16 of the Education Act 2002, and can only be used to support the schools budget as defined in the Schools and Early Years Finance (England) Regulations 2015, which are due to come into effect for the 2016/17 financial year.

Non-Applicable Sections:	Personnel Implications
Background Documents: (Access via Contact Officer)	Use of Unspent Dedicated Schools Grant, Education Budget Sub-Committee, 6 th Jan 2015 Dedicated Schools Grant 2015/16, Education PDS, 27 th Jan 2015 Outcome of Consultation - Bulge Class/Expansion Funding, Schools Forum, 26 th Nov 2015 Maintained Primary Schools De-delegation 2016-17, Schools Forum, 26 th Nov 2015 Draft 2016/17 Budget and Update on Council's Financial Strategy 2017/18 to 2019/20, Executive. 13 th Jan 2016

This page is left intentionally blank

Pupils to be used in the Dedicated Schools Grant - Schools and Early Years Blocks 2015-16:

Bromley

App 1

- A Pupils from Schools Census 2015 (headcount) for Schools, January 2015 (FTE) for Early Years
- B Pupils from Alternative Provision 2015 (headcount) for Schools, Alternative Provision 2015 (FTE) for Early Years
- C Pupils from Early Years Census 2015 (FTE)
- D Reception Uplift from October 2014 and January 2015 School Census (headcount)
- E Places in SEN Units and Resourced Provison from High Needs returns
- F **Total pupils for the 2016/17 Dedicated Schools Grant - Schools and Early Years Blocks (A + B + C + D - E)**
(pupil numbers are summed then rounded)

Schools Block	Early Years Block	
	3 & 4 year olds	2 year olds
42,847.0	287.6	5.9
0.0	0.0	0.0
	2,959.1	361.8
61.0		
348.0		
42,560.0	3,247.0	368.0

This page is left intentionally blank

2016/17 Funding Estimate

Appendix 2

High Needs Block

Early Year Block

Schools Block

Income

High Needs Block restated	48,268,652
Academy units	-2,720,000
PRU places recoupment	-1,391,674
HN recoupment	-920,000
Academy post-16 units	-252,000
	<u>-5,283,674</u>

42,984,978

2013/14 funding	12,661,579
EY Pupil Premium	240,829
2 year old funding	1,845,888

14,748,296

pupil numbers	42,560
Baseline £ per pupil	4,548
	193,573,094
NQT	61,652

193,634,746**251,368,020**

Expenditure

Delegated budgets

Special Schools - pre 16	9,930,752
Burwood places	145,833
Burwood top up	847,917
PRU	1,505,000
Units - maint places	720,000
- maint top up	284,000
- academy top up	1,067,666

Maintained	1,164,656
------------	-----------

Academy Recoupment	165,488,917
Primary SBS	25,575,927
Secondary SBS	2,889,840

Central budgets

Darrick Wood HIU	780,590
Pupil Referral costs	-175,000
Early Intervention - Primary	0
Progression Courses	340,000
Home and Alternative Provision	942,570
SEN Support in Mainstream	251,260
Primary academy matrix	1,428,823
Secondary academy matrix	1,051,562
Maintained matrix	85,279
Social Communication Difficulties Team	298,620
Sensory Support	973,060
Outreach and Inclusion	222,350
SEN Support in Preschools	363,530
Specialist Support and Disability	440,950
Complex Needs Team	312,320
Phoenix Pre School Service	1,652,910
Early Support Programme	99,790
SEN Transport	330,000
Special Central	45,000
Other Statemented	384,940
SEN Outborough Fees	11,954,041
SEN in fe Colleges	3,700,000
Special capital	11,820

PVI	12,298,210
2 yr old exp	2,097,600
EY Pupil Premium	240,829

Access and Admissions	475,500
Supply Staff costs	101,574
Dedelegated FSM eligibility	13,282
Growth Fund	2,500,000
Licences	213,000
Capital	45,840
Schools Forum	1,000
Pupil Support Advisory team	409,900
Support to Schools	46,180
Business Support	5,000
Workforce Development	56,090

Total 39,995,583**15,801,295****197,822,050****253,618,928**

2,989,395

-1,052,999

-4,187,304

-2,250,908

This page is left intentionally blank

Dedicated Schools Grant - Carry forward**App 3**

	£'000
14/15 DSG c/fwd	9,886
15/16 funding from c/f agreed Jan 15	Cr 4,483
16/17 growth agreed Jan 15	Cr 1,000
Beacon House refurbishment agreed Jan 15	Cr 2,972
15/16 projected overspend	Cr 52
	Cr 8,507
16/17 further growth required	Cr 1,250
Balance	<u>129</u>

This page is left intentionally blank

LA Name:	Bromley
LA Number:	305

Pupil Led Factors

1) Basic Entitlement Age Weighted Pupil Unit (AWPU)	Reception uplift	No	Pupil Units		0.00		Total	Proportion of total pre MFG funding (%)	Notional SEN (%)			
	Description	Amount per pupil	Pupil Units		Sub Total				Notional SEN (%)			
	Primary (Years R-6)	£2,938.00	26,192.00		£76,952,096		£147,966,633	39.53%	4.00%			
	Key Stage 3 (Years 7-9)	£4,168.00	10,100.00		£42,096,800				14.86%			
	Key Stage 4 (Years 10-11)	£4,559.00	6,343.00		£28,917,737							
	Description	Primary amount per pupil	Secondary amount per pupil	Eligible proportion of primary NOR	Eligible proportion of secondary NOR	Sub Total	Total	Proportion of total pre MFG funding (%)	Primary Notional SEN (%)	Secondary Notional SEN (%)		
2) Deprivation	FSM6 % Primary	£1,500.00		5,229.02		£7,843,535	£13,392,485	6.88%	50.00%			
	FSM6 % Secondary		£1,500.00		3,699.30	£5,548,950					50.00%	
	IDACI Band 1			896.89		£62.28						
	IDACI Band 2			1,851.78		£1,154.89						
	IDACI Band 3			2,773.14		£1,967.31						
	IDACI Band 4			2,548.97		£1,550.08						
	IDACI Band 5			265.74		£147.31						
	IDACI Band 6			0.00	0.00	£0						
3) Looked After Children (LAC)	LAC X March 14			147.13		£0	£2,571,726	0.00%				
4) English as an Additional Language (EAL)	EAL 3 Primary	£1,000.00		2,291.99		£2,291,994			1.32%	0.00%		
	EAL 3 Secondary		£1,129.00		247.77	£279,732						0.00%
5) Mobility	Pupils starting school outside of normal entry dates			596.90	0.00	£0	0.00%					
	Description	Weighting	Amount per pupil	Percentage of eligible Y1-3 and Y4-6 NOR respectively	Eligible proportion of primary and secondary NOR respectively	Sub Total	Total	Proportion of total pre MFG funding (%)	Primary Notional SEN (%)	Secondary Notional SEN (%)		
6) Prior attainment	Low Attainment % new EFSP	100.00%		31.91%		£11,455,476	£14,127,611	7.26%	100.00%			
	Low Attainment % old FSP 73		£1,858.00	14.02%	6,165.49							
	Secondary pupils not achieving (KS2 level 4 English or Maths)		£1,000.00		2,672.13				£2,672,134			100.00%

Other Factors

Factor	Lump Sum per Primary School (£)	Lump Sum per Secondary School (£)	Lump Sum per Middle School (£)	Lump Sum per All-through School (£)	Total (£)	Proportion of total pre MFG funding (%)	Notional SEN (%)		
7) Lump Sum	£155,000.00	£155,000.00			£14,725,000	7.56%	0.00%	0.00%	
8) Sparsity factor					£0	0.00%			
Please provide alternative distance and pupil number thresholds for the sparsity factor below. Please leave blank if you want to use the default thresholds. Also specify whether you want to use a tapered lump sum for one or both of the phases.									
Primary distance threshold (miles)		Primary pupil number average year group threshold		Fixed or tapered sparsity primary lump sum?	Fixed				
Secondary distance threshold (miles)		Secondary pupil number average year group threshold		Fixed or tapered sparsity secondary lump sum?	Fixed				
Middle schools distance threshold (miles)		Middle school pupil number average year group threshold		Fixed or tapered sparsity middle school lump sum?	Fixed				
All-through schools distance threshold (miles)		All-through pupil number average year group threshold		Fixed or tapered sparsity all-through lump sum?	Fixed				
9) Fringe Payments					£0	0.00%			
10) Split Sites					£0	0.00%			
11) Rates					£1,875,162	0.96%			
12) PFI funding					£0	0.00%			
13) Sixth Form					£0	0.00%			
14) Exceptional circumstances (can only be used with prior agreement of EFA)									
Circumstance					Total (£)	Proportion of total pre MFG funding (%)	Notional SEN (%)		
Additional lump sum for schools amalgamated during FY15-16					£0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Additional sparsity lump sum for small schools					£0	0.00%			
Exceptional Circumstance3					£0	0.00%			
Exceptional Circumstance4					£0	0.00%			
Exceptional Circumstance5					£0	0.00%			
Exceptional Circumstance6					£0	0.00%			
Total Funding for Schools Block Formula (excluding MFG Funding Total) (£)					£194,658,617	100.00%	£23,901,937		

15) Minimum Funding Guarantee (MFG is set at -1.5%)					#VALUE!			
Apply capping and scaling factors? (gains may be capped above a specific ceiling and/or scaled)					No			
Capping Factor (%)		Scaling Factor (%)						
Total deduction if capping and scaling factors are applied					£0			
					Total (£)	Proportion of Total funding(%)		
MFG Net Total Funding (MFG + deduction from capping and scaling)					£460,363	0.24%		
High Needs threshold (only fill in if, exceptionally, a high needs threshold different from £6,000 has been approved)								
Additional funding from the high needs budget					£0.00			
Growth fund (if applicable)					£1,500,000.00			
Falling rolls fund (if applicable)					£0.00			
Total Funding For Schools Block Formula					£195,118,980			
% Distributed through Basic Entitlement					76.01%			
% Pupil Led Funding					91.47%			
Primary: Secondary Ratio					1 :		1.15	

This page is left intentionally blank